

for costs arising in suits commenced & prosecuted before judgment
by his order? You say they were sold subject to my Lien
for costs. ~~Could the Plaintiff have sold them, in any other~~
~~way~~ Can any Plaintiff in Equity by thus selling it to a third person
bar the demand which the Attorney has against him for his
services, & demand such Plaintiff in the shape of costs! —

These purchasers will not ^{I presume} pay my costs — & suppose
they come to the conclusion to abandon these claims,
on the ground, that the costs which they must advance are
more than they are worth, when am I? Am I to be
told by my client, you have a Lien on Equity only, for
your remuneration — more especially after I have made a very
liberal offer, as I considered, upon this sale, to cancel my
claim — Had he assented to my offer, or had he ~~assented~~ the
Court: so sold them, that I could have effected the same thing
in a different form, I should have had control over
those Equity & might have compromised with these
debtors — now I can do nothing of that kind —

I have been thus particular, that there may be no misunder-
standing between us. As you are the Attorney of Mr. Gough, I wrote you
my last, to which you ~~very~~ politely replied: & I have now add-
ressed this to you — It is a plain case, & I ask nothing but
what law & justice will give me: & I regret exceedingly, that
my first offer was not accepted — You say, ⁱⁿ "you assented
to your proposition that you hold the Equity for your debt" — Was that my proposition
Had I not stated my proposition ^{& have I not done all in my power to carry it into effect} truly, above? — Can any one deprive me of my
Lien for costs ^{on the just} — You must see that I was gaining nothing by such a proposition