

Wintthrop Oct 17. 1843

Esq Little

Dear Sir

Yours of the 11 inst & the one by the Dea have been received & contents duly notice - I still am of the opinion that it was a great error in the Dea in selling his property to Mr Cashman for his interest as well as being a great damage to us in having the connection which had so long mutually existed between us broken off, without our consent or ever ~~being~~ consulted ~~us~~ about the new connection he unjustly forced us into -

At the time Mr C first got into the mill, there was an agreement made between the Dea & him that Mr C should have one half of what the Dea received from the company for services - also I understood from Mr C that the understanding was between them - that the Dea was to tend down one half of the time & Mr C was to do the company's business while the Dea was tending down & I am <sup>of the opinion</sup> ~~of the opinion~~ had the Dea been satisfied with the connection he had formed with Mr C & with Mr C's manner of doing business, he would not have made the last trade with him - My view of Mr C's qualifications & manner of business has been formed at a great extent from the conversation had with the Dea - As yet I have not been able to learn but what Mr C has done correctly, what business he has done, since the Dea retired from us - Mr C has not